UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3	 MILAN KJALASAN,	,
4	WILAN KJALASAN,)
5	Plaintiff,) Case No.: 2:20-cv-00045-GMN-NJK
,	vs.)
6) ORDER
	FBI,)
7)
8	Defendant.)
)
9		

1

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Pending before the Court is the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Nancy J. Koppe, (ECF No. 4), which recommends that Plaintiff's complaint be dismissed with prejudice.

A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a United States Magistrate Judge made pursuant to Local Rule IB 1-4. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B); D. Nev. Local R. IB 3-2. Upon the filing of such objections, the Court must make a de novo determination of those portions to which objections are made. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); D. Nev. Local R. IB 3-2(b). The Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the Magistrate Judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); D. Nev. IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, the Court is not required to conduct "any review at all... of any issue that is not the subject of an objection." Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a magistrate judge's report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. See, e.g., *United States v. Reyna–Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1122 (9th Cir. 2003).

Here, no objections were filed, and the deadline to do so has passed. Accordingly,

1	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation, (ECF No. 4), is
2	ACCEPTED and ADOPTED in full.
3	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's complaint is DISMISSED with
4	prejudice.
5	The Clerk of Court is instructed to close this case and enter judgment accordingly.
6	DATED this31 day of March, 2020.
7 8	Went
9	Gloria M. Navarro, District Judge
10	United States District Court
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	